February 23, 2012

Mike Dukette Harrells, LLC. Double Green LLC 102 Conklin Road Stafford Springs, CT 06076

SUPPORT For Raised Bill 5155 An Act Modifying The Ban On Pesticide Applications On School Grounds

To: The Honorable Steve Cassano, Co-Chair, The Honorable Linda M. Gentile Co-Chair, The Honorable Eric D. Coleman, Vice Chair and The Honorable Auden Grogins, Vice Chair, Leonard A. Fasano, William Aman, Vincent J. Candelora, Christopher Davis, Mae M. Flexer, Mary G. Fritz, Noreen S. Kokoruda, Roland J. Lemar, Elaine C. O' Brien, Jason Perillo, Lonnie Reed, Tom Reynolds, Matthew Ritter, Jason Rojas, Kim Rose, Bill Simanski, Richard A. Smith

My professional background is both as a supplier to the turf industry as a sales representative for Harrell's, LLC and as a certified professional applicator for my own business Double Green LLC. I have been selling fertilizer, seed, and chemical control products for the last 25 years. I am a licensed pesticide dealer in Connecticut and pride myself on knowing as much as currently possible about all aspects of turf management to help athletic field managers make proper decisions on ball field maintenance. I started my application business Double Green LLC. six years ago to properly apply chemical products for municipalities as needed always following the states IPM plan. I hold a supervisory license in turf and ornamentals and my business is registered as a pesticide application business.

Integrated Pest Management is an effective and environmentally sensitive approach to pest management that relies on a combination of common-sense practices. IPM programs use current, comprehensive information on the life cycles of pests and their interaction with the environment. This information, in combination with available pest control methods, is used to manage pest damage with the least possible hazard to people, property, and the environment.

IPM has provided for safe, reliable and judicious use of pest control applications in lawn care, turf management, farming and inside buildings. When I apply products to control pests such as grubs and weeds, my tools (sprayer) allow the product to be applied with pin point accuracy; no drift, no over lapping spray and no overapplication.

IPM allows for well-maintained athletic fields and playgrounds.

- Preventing injuries to student athletes.
- Reduced liability claims against towns and schools due to injuries.
- Protects the financial investments towns have made in athletic fields and school grounds.
- Greatly reduces the amount of pest control utilized for maximum effectiveness.
- Utilizes IPM trained, regulated and licensed professionals for pest control applications.
- EPA calls for IPM to be in every school by 2015.

Please support Raised Bill 5155 and assist in making our school grounds and playing fields safe and pest free.

Sincerely,

Mike Dukette



Written Testimony Edward Golinowski, President

SUPPORT for Raised Bill 5155 An Act Modifying the Ban on Pesticide Applications on School Grounds

Wednesday, February 22, 2012 Planning & Development Committee

Good morning Senator Cassano, Representative Gentile, Senator Fasano, Representative Aman and the distinguished member of the Planning & Development Committee, my name is Ed Golinowski, I am the President of the Connecticut Environmental Council (CTEC). I appreciate this opportunity to offer my comments in support of House Bill 5155, An Act Modifying the Ban on Pesticide Applications on School Grounds.

CTEC is a membership organization representing associations and professionals. Our membership includes the Connecticut Groundskeepers Association, the Connecticut Tree Protective Association, the Connecticut Pest Control Association, the Connecticut Irrigation Contractors Association, the Connecticut Association of Golf Course Superintendents and the Connecticut Farm Bureau Association.

During the 2005 session, CTEC supported legislation that permitted lawn care pesticides to be applied to public and private schools 8th grade or under if the applications adhered to an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) plan. In addition, the schools IPM plan must be consistent with DEEP's Model Pest Control Management Plan. From January 1, 2006 until the July 1, 2010 sunset school grounds were well maintained under this program.

In the way of background, state law defines IPM as "the judicious use of pesticides to maintain a pest population at or below an acceptable level, while decreasing the use of pesticides." As a result of IPM's judicious methods of applying lawn care pesticides, over the four years of the pilot program tons of active ingredients were withheld from use on school grounds. During the pilot, the IPM approach has proven to be safe, reliable and affordable way of applying lawn care pesticides, while protecting the financial investment towns have made in athletic fields and school grounds.

Since the July 1, 2010 sunset of middle school athletic fields and school grounds have fallen into complete disrepair with pest populations growing out of control making athletic fields unplayable. Attached to my testimony are two pictures of a middle school baseball field in West Haven. Under the IPM pilot program this athletic field was well maintained and used by many different teams during the year. The second picture was taken just 12 months after the ban. This field is unplayable. Students from this school are now playing there games on a park and recreations field which is not subject to the ban. Unfortunately this example is being repeated in just about every town in the state.

You will hear opponents of this legislation state, that there are cost effective and successfully ways to maintain school grounds and athletic fields without the use of pesticides. If this were true you would not see examples such as the West Haven field all over the state. Town would be availing themselves of those methods, unfortunately they don't exist.

In conclusion, the use of pesticides under an IPM plan to maintain school grounds is supported by scientific experts within our state as well as the U.S. EPA which recommends "that all schools use IPM to reduce pesticides risks and exposure to children. Put simply, IPM is a safer and frequently less costly option for effective pest management in a school community." The EPA plan calls for IPM in all schools in the United States by 2015. With Connecticut's ban on pesticides we are way out-of bounds on this issue letting pest populations grow unchecked on school grounds.

Thank you for this opportunity to testify, I'd be glad to answer any questions you may have at this time.



Before the Ban on Pesticides



After the Ban on Pesticides

